Dear Paul, 7/24/79

Glad to get your three newsletters of 7/7-21 anf the other worthwhile enclosures.

Most of the clips that appear to be of interest I'd like for their archival interest. These are:

7/7: 1,2,6; 7/14:1-9; 13-14; 7/21: 4-10 and 13.

I presume the Anderson/Marcello bit is that column. If so I have it.

From what I've heard of the puffery about Blood Feud, which I've not seen, I caution you re such comments as "Mostly factual."

With Partin and Sheridan there are always questions of real fact.

When I get the HSCA report the footnote guide will be helpful, thanks, particularly because I won't reac it cover-to-cover and be following the notes.

I know the Gochenauer story. If you see any more on it I'd appreciate copies.

Your book may be a quickie but its words will be longies. I hope you will be able to bear this in mind and to distinguish between wish and reality.

Your (collective) lacks of knowledge of the King/Ray case may be more of a liability that you realize because you will be dealing with a record of which you know nothing, the committee's record in those areas.

It is one committee when you get into areas of honesty, integrity, motive and purpose, etc.

When you get the Lardner/Bantam exclusive story the same issue has his asking Stokes re Stokes boast of the protective of the rights of all how he protected the rights of the Rays and the mafia types.

If you are writing a book you should know the answer.

Thanks and best wieches,